

Not Relevant

From: Schmid, Emily
Sent: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 3:14 PM
To: McCaskill Amy USRS <Amy.McCaskill@syngenta.com>
Cc: mgmt2 data USGR <data.mgmt2@syngenta.com>; Meadows, Sarah <Meadows.Sarah@epa.gov>; Roe, Lindsay <Roe.Lindsay@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: FTA for State Restrictions

Thanks Amy!

From: McCaskill Amy USRS <Amy.McCaskill@syngenta.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 3:12 PM
To: Schmid, Emily <Schmid.Emily@epa.gov>
Cc: mgmt2 data USGR <data.mgmt2@syngenta.com>; Meadows, Sarah <Meadows.Sarah@epa.gov>; Roe, Lindsay <Roe.Lindsay@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: FTA for State Restrictions

Thanks for the heads up. I'll look forward to receiving the label comments and will turn them around as quickly as possible.

Best Regards,

Amy McCaskill (she/her)
Sr Federal Regulatory Manager, US Herbicide Portfolio

From: Schmid, Emily <Schmid.Emily@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 3:09 PM
To: McCaskill Amy USRS <Amy.McCaskill@syngenta.com>
Cc: mgmt2 data USGR <data.mgmt2@syngenta.com>; Meadows, Sarah <Meadows.Sarah@epa.gov>; Roe, Lindsay <Roe.Lindsay@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: FTA for State Restrictions

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Amy,

Thanks again for submitting the labels. We are still having some internal discussions but hope to get label comments to you tomorrow. If you could be ready to turn them around quickly, that would be

really helpful. Additionally, we expect to be in touch to discuss the terms of registration within the next couple of days. We really appreciate your patience.

Best regards,
Emily

From: McCaskill Amy USRS <Amy.McCaskill@syngenta.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 4:22 PM
To: Schmid, Emily <Schmid.Emily@epa.gov>
Cc: mgmt2 data USGR <data_mgmt2@syngenta.com>
Subject: FTA for State Restrictions

Hi Emily,

I just wanted to let you know that the Syngenta section 3 and 3 supplemental labels have just been submitted. The only question you had outstanding was if the Iowa supplemental should be exclusively for DT soybean. I did not speak with anyone directly in Iowa, our state liaison submitted our draft for their review. We were aligned with BASF in including DT soybean. However, since the Minnesota label was not crop specific and Bayer did not have specific crops specified we decided to remove the DT soybean as your edit suggested. We are not aware of any cotton growing in Iowa or Minnesota. Please let me know if you would like pdfs of the labels as I'm happy to send those along as well. I hope you have a great weekend.

Best Regards,

Amy McCaskill (she/her)
Sr Federal Regulatory Manager, US Herbicide Portfolio



Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC
PO Box 18300
410 S Swing Road
Greensboro, NC 27419-8300

office +13366322429
mobile PII
amy.mccaskill@syngenta.com
www.syngenta.com

This message may contain confidential information. If you are not the designated recipient, please notify the sender immediately, and delete the original and any copies. Any use of the message by you is prohibited. Syngenta seeks to preserve and promote competition and deter anticompetitive conduct. All our employees and partners are required to act in accordance with laws and Syngenta "Code of Conduct"

ED_005172C_00002279-00002